11.7 Polar Form of Complex Numbers

In this section, we return to our study of complex numbers which were first introduced in Section 3.4. Recall that a complex number is a number of the form \( z = a + bi \) where \( a \) and \( b \) are real numbers and \( i \) is the imaginary unit defined by \( i = \sqrt{-1} \). The number \( a \) is called the real part of \( z \), denoted \( \text{Re}(z) \), while the real number \( b \) is called the imaginary part of \( z \), denoted \( \text{Im}(z) \). From Intermediate Algebra, we know that if \( z = a + bi = c + di \) where \( a \), \( b \), \( c \) and \( d \) are real numbers, then \( a = c \) and \( b = d \), which means \( \text{Re}(z) \) and \( \text{Im}(z) \) are well-defined.\(^1\) To start off this section, we associate each complex number \( z = a + bi \) with the point \((a, b)\) on the coordinate plane. In this case, the \( x \)-axis is relabeled as the real axis, which corresponds to the real number line as usual, and the \( y \)-axis is relabeled as the imaginary axis, which is demarcated in increments of the imaginary unit \( i \). The plane determined by these two axes is called the complex plane.

![The Complex Plane](image)

Since the ordered pair \((a, b)\) gives the rectangular coordinates associated with the complex number \( z = a + bi \), the expression \( z = a + bi \) is called the rectangular form of \( z \). Of course, we could just as easily associate \( z \) with a pair of polar coordinates \((r, \theta)\). Although it is not a straightforward as the definitions of \( \text{Re}(z) \) and \( \text{Im}(z) \), we can still give \( r \) and \( \theta \) special names in relation to \( z \).

**Definition 11.2. The Modulus and Argument of Complex Numbers:** Let \( z = a + bi \) be a complex number with \( a = \text{Re}(z) \) and \( b = \text{Im}(z) \). Let \((r, \theta)\) be a polar representation of the point with rectangular coordinates \((a, b)\) where \( r \geq 0 \).

- The **modulus** of \( z \), denoted \(|z|\), is defined by \(|z| = r\).
- The angle \( \theta \) is an **argument** of \( z \). The set of all arguments of \( z \) is denoted \( \text{arg}(z) \).
- If \( z \neq 0 \) and \(-\pi < \theta \leq \pi\), then \( \theta \) is the **principal argument** of \( z \), written \( \theta = \text{Arg}(z) \).

\(^1\)‘Well-defined’ means that no matter how we express \( z \), the number \( \text{Re}(z) \) is always the same, and the number \( \text{Im}(z) \) is always the same. In other words, \( \text{Re} \) and \( \text{Im} \) are functions of complex numbers.
Some remarks about Definition 11.2 are in order. We know from Section 11.4 that every point in the plane has infinitely many polar coordinate representations \((r, \theta)\) which means it’s worth our time to make sure the quantities ‘modulus’, ‘argument’ and ‘principal argument’ are well-defined. Concerning the modulus, if \(z = 0\) then the point associated with \(z\) is the origin. In this case, the only \(r\)-value which can be used here is \(r = 0\). Hence for \(z = 0\), \(|z| = 0\) is well-defined. If \(z \neq 0\), then the point associated with \(z\) is not the origin, and there are two possibilities for \(r\): one positive and one negative. However, we stipulated \(r \geq 0\) in our definition so this pins down the value of \(|z|\) to one and only one number. Thus the modulus is well-defined in this case, too.\(^2\) Even with the requirement \(r \geq 0\), there are infinitely many angles \(\theta\) which can be used in a polar representation of a point \((r, \theta)\). If \(z \neq 0\) then the point in question is not the origin, so all of these angles \(\theta\) are coterminal. Since coterminal angles are exactly \(2\pi\) radians apart, we are guaranteed that only one of them lies in the interval \((-\pi, \pi]\), and this angle is what we call the principal argument of \(z\), \(\text{Arg}(z)\). In fact, the set \(\text{arg}(z)\) of all arguments of \(z\) can be described using set-builder notation as \(\text{arg}(z) = \{\text{Arg}(z) + 2\pi k \mid k \text{ is an integer}\}\). Note that since \(\text{arg}(z)\) is a set, we will write ‘\(\theta \in \text{arg}(z)\)’ to mean ‘\(\theta\) is in\(^3\) the set of arguments of \(z\)’. If \(z = 0\) then the point in question is the origin, which we know can be represented in polar coordinates as \((0, \theta)\) for any angle \(\theta\). In this case, we have \(\text{arg}(0) = (-\infty, \infty)\) and since there is no one value of \(\theta\) which lies \((-\pi, \pi]\), we leave \(\text{Arg}(0)\) undefined.\(^4\) It is time for an example.

**Example 11.7.1.** For each of the following complex numbers find \(\text{Re}(z)\), \(\text{Im}(z)\), \(|z|\), \(\text{arg}(z)\) and \(\text{Arg}(z)\). Plot \(z\) in the complex plane.

1. \(z = \sqrt{3} - i\)
2. \(z = -2 + 4i\)
3. \(z = 3i\)
4. \(z = -117\)

**Solution.**

1. For \(z = \sqrt{3} - i = \sqrt{3} + (-1)i\), we have \(\text{Re}(z) = \sqrt{3}\) and \(\text{Im}(z) = -1\). To find \(|z|\), \(\text{arg}(z)\) and \(\text{Arg}(z)\), we need to find a polar representation \((r, \theta)\) with \(r \geq 0\) for the point \(P(\sqrt{3}, -1)\) associated with \(z\). We know \(r^2 = (\sqrt{3})^2 + (-1)^2 = 4\), so \(r = \pm 2\). Since we require \(r \geq 0\), we choose \(r = 2\), so \(|z| = 2\). Next, we find a corresponding angle \(\theta\). Since \(r > 0\) and \(P\) lies in Quadrant IV, \(\theta\) is a Quadrant IV angle. We know \(\tan(\theta) = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{3}} = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}\), so \(\theta = -\frac{\pi}{6} + 2\pi k\) for integers \(k\). Hence, \(\text{arg}(z) = \{-\frac{\pi}{6} + 2\pi k \mid k \text{ is an integer}\}\). Of these values, only \(\theta = -\frac{\pi}{6}\) satisfies the requirement that \(-\pi < \theta \leq \pi\), hence \(\text{Arg}(z) = -\frac{\pi}{6}\).

2. The complex number \(z = -2 + 4i\) has \(\text{Re}(z) = -2\), \(\text{Im}(z) = 4\), and is associated with the point \(P(-2, 4)\). Our next task is to find a polar representation \((r, \theta)\) for \(P\) where \(r \geq 0\). Running through the usual calculations gives \(r = 2\sqrt{5}\), so \(|z| = 2\sqrt{5}\). To find \(\theta\), we get \(\tan(\theta) = -2\), and since \(r > 0\) and \(P\) lies in Quadrant II, we know \(\theta\) is a Quadrant II angle. We find \(\theta = \pi + \arctan(-2) + 2\pi k\), or, more succinctly \(\theta = \pi - \arctan(2) + 2\pi k\) for integers \(k\). Hence \(\text{arg}(z) = \{\pi - \arctan(2) + 2\pi k \mid k \text{ is an integer}\}\). Only \(\theta = \pi - \arctan(2)\) satisfies the requirement \(-\pi < \theta \leq \pi\), so \(\text{Arg}(z) = \pi - \arctan(2)\).

---

\(^2\)In case you’re wondering, the use of the absolute value notation \(|z|\) for modulus will be explained shortly.

\(^3\)Recall the symbol being used here, ‘\(\in\)’ is the mathematical symbol which denotes membership in a set.

\(^4\)If we had Calculus, we would regard \(\text{Arg}(0)\) as an ‘indeterminate form.’ But we don’t, so we won’t.
3. We rewrite $z = 3i$ as $z = 0 + 3i$ to find $\text{Re}(z) = 0$ and $\text{Im}(z) = 3$. The point in the plane which corresponds to $z$ is $(0, 3)$ and while we could go through the usual calculations to find the required polar form of this point, we can almost ‘see’ the answer. The point $(0, 3)$ lies 3 units away from the origin on the positive $y$-axis. Hence, $r = |z| = 3$ and $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2} + 2\pi k$ for integers $k$. We get $\text{arg}(z) = \left\{ \frac{\pi}{2} + 2\pi k \mid k \text{ is an integer} \right\}$ and $\text{Arg}(z) = \frac{\pi}{2}$.

4. As in the previous problem, we write $z = -117 = -117 + 0i$ so $\text{Re}(z) = -117$ and $\text{Im}(z) = 0$. The number $z = -117$ corresponds to the point $(-117, 0)$, and this is another instance where we can determine the polar form ‘by eye’. The point $(-117, 0)$ is 117 units away from the origin along the negative $x$-axis. Hence, $r = |z| = 117$ and $\theta = \pi + 2\pi = (2k + 1)\pi k$ for integers $k$. We have $\text{arg}(z) = \{(2k + 1)\pi \mid k \text{ is an integer}\}$, just barely lies in the interval $(-\pi, \pi]$, which means $\text{arg}(z) = \pi$. We plot $z$ along with the other numbers in this example below.

Now that we’ve had some practice computing the modulus and argument of some complex numbers, it is time to explore their properties. We have the following theorem.

**Theorem 11.14. Properties of the Modulus:** Let $z$ and $w$ be complex numbers.

- $|z|$ is the distance from $z$ to 0 in the complex plane
- $|z| \geq 0$ and $|z| = 0$ if and only if $z = 0$
- $|z| = \sqrt{\text{Re}(z)^2 + \text{Im}(z)^2}$
- **Product Rule:** $|zw| = |z||w|$
- **Power Rule:** $|z^n| = |z|^n$ for all natural numbers, $n$
- **Quotient Rule:** $\left| \frac{z}{w} \right| = \frac{|z|}{|w|}$, provided $w \neq 0$

To prove the first three properties in Theorem 11.14, suppose $z = a + bi$ where $a$ and $b$ are real numbers. To determine $|z|$, we find a polar representation $(r, \theta)$ with $r \geq 0$ for the point $(a, b)$. From Section 11.4, we know $r^2 = a^2 + b^2$ so that $r = \pm \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$. Since we require $r \geq 0$, then it must be that $r = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$, which means $|z| = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$. Using the distance formula, we find the distance
from \((0, 0)\) to \((a, b)\) is also \(\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}\), establishing the first property. For the second property, note that since \(|z|\) is a distance, \(|z| \geq 0\). Furthermore, \(|z| = 0\) if and only if the distance from \(z\) to \(0\) is 0, and the latter happens if and only if \(z = 0\), which is what we were asked to show. For the third property, we note that since \(a = \text{Re}(z)\) and \(b = \text{Im}(z)\), \(z = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} = \sqrt{\text{Re}(z)^2 + \text{Im}(z)^2}\).

To prove the product rule, suppose \(z = a + bi\) and \(w = c + di\) for real numbers \(a, b, c\) and \(d\). Then \(zw = (a + bi)(c + di)\). After the usual arithmetic we get \(zw = (ac - bd) + (ad + bc)i\). Therefore,

\[
|zw| = \sqrt{(ac - bd)^2 + (ad + bc)^2}
= \sqrt{a^2c^2 - 2abcd + b^2d^2 + a^2d^2 + 2abcd + b^2c^2}
\]

\[
= \sqrt{a^2(c^2 + d^2) + b^2(c^2 + d^2)}
\]

\[
= \sqrt{(a^2 + b^2)(c^2 + d^2)}
\]

\[
= \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}\sqrt{c^2 + d^2}
\]

\[
= |z||w|
\]

Hence \(|zw| = |z||w|\) as required.

Now that the Product Rule has been established, we use it and the Principle of Mathematical Induction to prove the power rule. Let \(P(n)\) be the statement \(|z^n| = |z|^n\). Then \(P(1)\) is true since \(|z|^1 = |z| = |z|^1\). Next, assume \(P(k)\) is true. That is, assume \(|z|^k = |z|^k\) for some \(k \geq 1\). Our job is to show that \(P(k + 1)\) is true, namely \(|z|^{k+1}| = |z|^{k+1}\). As is customary with induction proofs, we first try to reduce the problem in such a way as to use the Induction Hypothesis.

\[
|z^{k+1}| = |z^k z|
= |z^k| |z|
= |z|^k |z|
\]

\[
= |z|^{k+1}
\]

Hence, \(P(k + 1)\) is true, which means \(|z^n| = |z|^n\) is true for all natural numbers \(n\).

Like the Power Rule, the Quotient Rule can also be established with the help of the Product Rule. We assume \(w \neq 0\) (so \(|w| \neq 0\)) and we get

\[
\left|\frac{z}{w}\right| = \left|\frac{(z)}{(w)}\right|
= \left|\frac{1}{w}\right|
= |z| \left|\frac{1}{w}\right|
\]

Product Rule.

---

5 Since the absolute value \(|x|\) of a real number \(x\) can be viewed as the distance from \(x\) to \(0\) on the number line, this first property justifies the notation \(|z|\) for modulus. We leave it to the reader to show that if \(z\) is real, then the definition of modulus coincides with absolute value so the notation \(|z|\) is unambiguous.

6 This may be considered by some to be a bit of a cheat, so we work through the underlying Algebra to see this is true. We know \(|z| = 0\) if and only if \(\sqrt{a^2 + b^2} = 0\) if and only if \(a^2 + b^2 = 0\), which is true if and only if \(a = b = 0\). The latter happens if and only if \(z = a + bi = 0\). There.

7 See Example 3.4.1 in Section 3.4 for a review of complex number arithmetic.

8 See Section 9.3 for a review of this technique.
Hence, the proof really boils down to showing \( \frac{1}{|w|} = \frac{1}{|w|} \). This is left as an exercise.

Next, we characterize the argument of a complex number in terms of its real and imaginary parts.

**Theorem 11.15. Properties of the Argument:** Let \( z \) be a complex number.

- If \( \text{Re}(z) \neq 0 \) and \( \theta \in \text{arg}(z) \), then \( \tan(\theta) = \frac{\text{Im}(z)}{\text{Re}(z)} \).
- If \( \text{Re}(z) = 0 \) and \( \text{Im}(z) > 0 \), then \( \text{arg}(z) = \{ \frac{\pi}{2} + 2\pi k \mid k \text{ is an integer} \} \).
- If \( \text{Re}(z) = 0 \) and \( \text{Im}(z) < 0 \), then \( \text{arg}(z) = \{ -\frac{\pi}{2} + 2\pi k \mid k \text{ is an integer} \} \).
- If \( \text{Re}(z) = \text{Im}(z) = 0 \), then \( z = 0 \) and \( \text{arg}(z) = (-\infty, \infty) \).

To prove Theorem 11.15, suppose \( z = a + bi \) for real numbers \( a \) and \( b \). By definition, \( a = \text{Re}(z) \) and \( b = \text{Im}(z) \), so the point associated with \( z \) is \( (a, b) = (\text{Re}(z), \text{Im}(z)) \). From Section 11.4, we know that if \( (r, \theta) \) is a polar representation for \( (\text{Re}(z), \text{Im}(z)) \), then \( \tan(\theta) = \frac{\text{Im}(z)}{\text{Re}(z)} \), provided \( \text{Re}(z) \neq 0 \).

If \( \text{Re}(z) = 0 \) and \( \text{Im}(z) > 0 \), then \( z \) lies on the positive imaginary axis. Since we take \( r > 0 \), we have that \( \theta \) is coterminal with \( \frac{\pi}{2} \), and the result follows. If \( \text{Re}(z) = 0 \) and \( \text{Im}(z) < 0 \), then \( z \) lies on the negative imaginary axis, and a similar argument shows \( \theta \) is coterminal with \( -\frac{\pi}{2} \). The last property in the theorem was already discussed in the remarks following Definition 11.2.

Our next goal is to completely marry the Geometry and the Algebra of the complex numbers. To that end, consider the figure below.

We know from Theorem 11.7 that \( a = r \cos(\theta) \) and \( b = r \sin(\theta) \). Making these substitutions for \( a \) and \( b \) gives \( z = a + bi = r \cos(\theta) + r \sin(\theta)i = r [\cos(\theta) + i \sin(\theta)] \). The expression ‘\( \cos(\theta) + i \sin(\theta) \)’ is abbreviated \( \text{cis}(\theta) \) so we can write \( z = r \text{cis}(\theta) \). Since \( r = |z| \) and \( \theta \in \text{arg}(z) \), we get

**Definition 11.3. A Polar Form of a Complex Number:** Suppose \( z \) is a complex number and \( \theta \in \text{arg}(z) \). The expression:

\[
|z| \text{cis}(\theta) = |z| [\cos(\theta) + i \sin(\theta)]
\]

is called a polar form for \( z \).
Since there are infinitely many choices for $\theta \in \text{arg}(z)$, there are infinitely many polar forms for $z$, so we used the indefinite article ‘a’ in Definition 11.3. It is time for an example.

**Example 11.7.2.**

1. Find the rectangular form of the following complex numbers. Find $\text{Re}(z)$ and $\text{Im}(z)$.

   (a) $z = 4\text{cis}\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}\right)$  
   (b) $z = 2\text{cis}\left(-\frac{3\pi}{4}\right)$  
   (c) $z = 3\text{cis}(0)$  
   (d) $z = \text{cis}\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)$

2. Use the results from Example 11.7.1 to find a polar form of the following complex numbers.

   (a) $z = \sqrt{3} - i$  
   (b) $z = -2 + 4i$  
   (c) $z = 3i$  
   (d) $z = -117$

**Solution.**

1. The key to this problem is to write out $\text{cis}(\theta)$ as $\cos(\theta) + i\sin(\theta)$.

   (a) By definition, $z = 4\text{cis}\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}\right) = 4\left[\cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}\right) + i\sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}\right)\right]$. After some simplifying, we get $z = -2 + 2i\sqrt{3}$, so that $\text{Re}(z) = -2$ and $\text{Im}(z) = 2\sqrt{3}$.

   (b) Expanding, we get $z = 2\text{cis}\left(-\frac{3\pi}{4}\right) = 2\left[\cos\left(-\frac{3\pi}{4}\right) + i\sin\left(-\frac{3\pi}{4}\right)\right]$. From this, we find $z = -\sqrt{2} - i\sqrt{2}$, so $\text{Re}(z) = -\sqrt{2} = \text{Im}(z)$.

   (c) We get $z = 3\text{cis}(0) = 3\left[\cos(0) + i\sin(0)\right] = 3$. Writing $3 = 3 + 0i$, we get $\text{Re}(z) = 3$ and $\text{Im}(z) = 0$, which makes sense seeing as $3$ is a real number.

   (d) Lastly, we have $z = \text{cis}\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right) = \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right) + i\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right) = i$. Since $i = 0 + 1i$, we get $\text{Re}(z) = 0$ and $\text{Im}(z) = 1$. Since $i$ is called the ‘imaginary unit,’ these answers make perfect sense.

2. To write a polar form of a complex number $z$, we need two pieces of information: the modulus $|z|$ and an argument (not necessarily the principal argument) of $z$. We shamelessly mine our solution to Example 11.7.1 to find what we need.

   (a) For $z = \sqrt{3} - i$, $|z| = 2$ and $\theta = -\frac{\pi}{6}$, so $z = 2\text{cis}\left(-\frac{\pi}{6}\right)$. We can check our answer by converting it back to rectangular form to see that it simplifies to $z = \sqrt{3} - i$.

   (b) For $z = -2 + 4i$, $|z| = 2\sqrt{5}$ and $\theta = \pi - \arctan(2)$. Hence, $z = 2\sqrt{5}\text{cis}(\pi - \arctan(2))$. It is a good exercise to actually show that this polar form reduces to $z = -2 + 4i$.

   (c) For $z = 3i$, $|z| = 3$ and $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$. In this case, $z = 3\text{cis}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)$. This can be checked geometrically. Head out 3 units from 0 along the positive real axis. Rotating $\frac{\pi}{2}$ radians counter-clockwise lands you exactly 3 units above 0 on the imaginary axis at $z = 3i$.

   (d) Last but not least, for $z = -117$, $|z| = 117$ and $\theta = \pi$. We get $z = 117\text{cis}(\pi)$. As with the previous problem, our answer is easily checked geometrically.
The following theorem summarizes the advantages of working with complex numbers in polar form.

\[ \textbf{Theorem 11.16. Products, Powers and Quotients Complex Numbers in Polar Form:} \]
Suppose \( z \) and \( w \) are complex numbers with polar forms \( z = |z|\text{cis}(\alpha) \) and \( w = |w|\text{cis}(\beta) \). Then

- **Product Rule:** \( zw = |z||w|\text{cis}(\alpha + \beta) \)
- **Power Rule (DeMoivre’s Theorem):** \( z^n = |z|^n\text{cis}(n\theta) \) for every natural number \( n \)
- **Quotient Rule:** \( \frac{z}{w} = \frac{|z|}{|w|}\text{cis}(\alpha - \beta) \), provided \( |w| \neq 0 \)

The proof of Theorem 11.16 requires a healthy mix of definition, arithmetic and identities. We first start with the product rule.

\[ zw = \left[ |z|\text{cis}(\alpha) \right]\left[ |w|\text{cis}(\beta) \right] = |z||w|\left[ \cos(\alpha) + i\sin(\alpha) \right]\left[ \cos(\beta) + i\sin(\beta) \right] \]

We now focus on the quantity in brackets on the right hand side of the equation.

\[ \left[ \cos(\alpha) + i\sin(\alpha) \right]\left[ \cos(\beta) + i\sin(\beta) \right] = \cos(\alpha)\cos(\beta) + i^2\sin(\alpha)\sin(\beta) \]
\[ + i\sin(\alpha)\cos(\beta) + i\cos(\alpha)\sin(\beta) \]
\[ = \cos(\alpha + \beta) + i\sin(\alpha + \beta) \]
\[ = \text{cis}(\alpha + \beta) \]

Putting this together with our earlier work, we get \( zw = |z||w|\text{cis}(\alpha + \beta) \), as required.

Moving right along, we next take aim at the Power Rule, better known as DeMoivre’s Theorem.\(^9\)

We proceed by induction on \( n \). Let \( P(n) \) be the sentence \( z^n = |z|^n\text{cis}(n\theta) \). Then \( P(1) \) is true, since \( z^1 = z = |z|\text{cis}(\theta) = |z|^1\text{cis}(1 \cdot \theta) \). We now assume \( P(k) \) is true, that is, we assume \( z^k = |z|^k\text{cis}(k\theta) \) for some \( k \geq 1 \). Our goal is to show that \( P(k + 1) \) is true, or that \( z^{k+1} = |z|^{k+1}\text{cis}((k + 1)\theta) \). We have

\[ z^{k+1} = z^kz \]
\[ = (|z|^k\text{cis}(k\theta))(|z|\text{cis}(\theta)) \quad \text{Properties of Exponents} \]
\[ = (|z|^k|z|)\text{cis}(k\theta + \theta) \quad \text{Induction Hypothesis} \]
\[ = |z|^{k+1}\text{cis}((k + 1)\theta) \quad \text{Product Rule} \]

\(^9\)Compare this proof with the proof of the Power Rule in Theorem 11.14.
Hence, assuming \( P(k) \) is true, we have that \( P(k + 1) \) is true, so by the Principle of Mathematical Induction, \( z^n = |z|^n \text{cis}(n\theta) \) for all natural numbers \( n \).

The last property in Theorem 11.16 to prove is the quotient rule. Assuming \( |w| \neq 0 \) we have

\[
\frac{z}{w} = \frac{|z| \text{cis}(\alpha)}{|w| \text{cis}(\beta)} = \left( \frac{|z|}{|w|} \right) \frac{\cos(\alpha) + i \sin(\alpha)}{\cos(\beta) + i \sin(\beta)}
\]

Next, we multiply both the numerator and denominator of the right hand side by \((\cos(\beta) - i \sin(\beta))\) which is the complex conjugate of \((\cos(\beta) + i \sin(\beta))\) to get

\[
\frac{z}{w} = \left( \frac{|z|}{|w|} \right) \frac{\cos(\alpha) + i \sin(\alpha)}{\cos(\beta) + i \sin(\beta)} \cdot \frac{\cos(\beta) - i \sin(\beta)}{\cos(\beta) - i \sin(\beta)}
\]

If we let the numerator be \( N = [\cos(\alpha) + i \sin(\alpha)] [\cos(\beta) - i \sin(\beta)] \) and simplify we get

\[
N = [\cos(\alpha) + i \sin(\alpha)] [\cos(\beta) - i \sin(\beta)]
\]

\[
= \cos(\alpha) \cos(\beta) - i \cos(\alpha) \sin(\beta) + i \sin(\alpha) \cos(\beta) - i^2 \sin(\alpha) \sin(\beta) \quad \text{Expand}
\]

\[
= [\cos(\alpha) \cos(\beta) + \sin(\alpha) \sin(\beta)] + i [\sin(\alpha) \cos(\beta) - \cos(\alpha) \sin(\beta)] \quad \text{Difference Identities}
\]

\[
= \cos(\alpha - \beta) + i \sin(\alpha - \beta) \quad \text{Definition of ‘cis’}
\]

If we call the denominator \( D \) then we get

\[
D = [\cos(\beta) + i \sin(\beta)] [\cos(\beta) - i \sin(\beta)]
\]

\[
= \cos^2(\beta) - i \cos(\beta) \sin(\beta) + i \cos(\beta) \sin(\beta) - i^2 \sin^2(\beta) \quad \text{Expand}
\]

\[
= \cos^2(\beta) - i^2 \sin^2(\beta) \quad \text{Simplify}
\]

\[
= \cos^2(\beta) + \sin^2(\beta) \quad \text{Again, } i^2 = -1
\]

\[
= 1 \quad \text{Pythagorean Identity}
\]

Putting it all together, we get

\[
\frac{z}{w} = \left( \frac{|z|}{|w|} \right) \frac{\cos(\alpha) + i \sin(\alpha)}{\cos(\beta) + i \sin(\beta)} \cdot \frac{\cos(\beta) - i \sin(\beta)}{\cos(\beta) - i \sin(\beta)}
\]

\[
= \left( \frac{|z|}{|w|} \right) \frac{\cos(\alpha) + i \sin(\alpha)}{\cos(\beta) + i \sin(\beta)} \cdot \frac{\cos(\beta) - i \sin(\beta)}{\cos(\beta) - i \sin(\beta)}
\]

\[
= \left( \frac{|z|}{|w|} \right) \frac{\cos(\alpha - \beta)}{1}
\]

\[
= \frac{|z|}{|w|} \text{cis}(\alpha - \beta)
\]

and we are done. The next example makes good use of Theorem 11.16.
Example 11.7.3. Let $z = 2\sqrt{3} + 2i$ and $w = -1 + i\sqrt{3}$. Use Theorem 11.16 to find the following.

1. $zw$
2. $w^5$
3. $\frac{z}{w}$

Write your final answers in rectangular form.

Solution. In order to use Theorem 11.16, we need to write $z$ and $w$ in polar form. For $z = 2\sqrt{3} + 2i$, we find $|z| = \sqrt{(2\sqrt{3})^2 + 2^2} = \sqrt{16} = 4$. If $\theta \in \arg(z)$, we know $\tan(\theta) = \frac{\text{Im}(z)}{\text{Re}(z)} = \frac{2}{2\sqrt{3}} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}$. Since $z$ lies in Quadrant I, we have $\theta = \frac{\pi}{6} + 2\pi k$ for integers $k$. Hence, $z = 4\text{cis} \left( \frac{\pi}{6} \right)$. For $w = -1 + i\sqrt{3}$, we have $|w| = \sqrt{(-1)^2 + (\sqrt{3})^2} = 2$. For an argument $\theta$ of $w$, we have $\tan(\theta) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{1} = -\sqrt{3}$. Since $w$ lies in Quadrant II, $\theta = \frac{2\pi}{3} + 2\pi k$ for integers $k$ and $w = 2\text{cis} \left( \frac{2\pi}{3} \right)$. We can now proceed.

1. We get $zw = \left( 4\text{cis} \left( \frac{\pi}{6} \right) \right) \left( 2\text{cis} \left( \frac{2\pi}{3} \right) \right) = 8\text{cis} \left( \frac{\pi}{6} + \frac{2\pi}{3} \right) = 8\text{cis} \left( \frac{5\pi}{6} \right) = 8 \left[ \cos \left( \frac{5\pi}{6} \right) + i \sin \left( \frac{5\pi}{6} \right) \right]$. After simplifying, we get $zw = -4\sqrt{3} + 4i$.

2. We use DeMoivre’s Theorem which yields $w^5 = \left[ 2\text{cis} \left( \frac{2\pi}{3} \right) \right]^5 = 32\text{cis} \left( 5 \cdot \frac{2\pi}{3} \right) = 32\text{cis} \left( \frac{10\pi}{3} \right)$. Since $\frac{10\pi}{3}$ is coterminal with $\frac{4\pi}{3}$, we get $w^5 = 32 \left[ \cos \left( \frac{4\pi}{3} \right) + i \sin \left( \frac{4\pi}{3} \right) \right] = -16 - 16i\sqrt{3}$.

3. Last, but not least, we have $\frac{z}{w} = \frac{4\text{cis} \left( \frac{\pi}{6} \right)}{2\text{cis} \left( \frac{2\pi}{3} \right)} = 2\text{cis} \left( \frac{\pi}{6} - \frac{2\pi}{3} \right) = 2\text{cis} \left( -\frac{\pi}{2} \right)$. Since $-\frac{\pi}{2}$ is a quadrantal angle, we can ‘see’ the rectangular form by moving out 2 units along the positive imaginary axis, then rotating $\frac{\pi}{2}$ radians clockwise to arrive at the point 2 units below 0 on the imaginary axis. The long and short of it is that $\frac{z}{w} = -2i$.

Some remarks are in order. First, the reader may not be sold on using the polar form of complex numbers to multiply complex numbers – especially if they aren’t given in polar form to begin with. Indeed, a lot of work was needed to convert the numbers $z$ and $w$ in Example 11.7.3 into polar form, compute their product, and convert back to rectangular form – certainly more work than is required to multiply out $zw = (2\sqrt{3} + 2i)(-1 + i\sqrt{3})$ the old-fashioned way. However, Theorem 11.16 pays huge dividends when computing powers of complex numbers. Consider how we computed $w^5$ above and compare that to using the Binomial Theorem, Theorem 9.4, to accomplish the same feat by expanding $(-1 + i\sqrt{3})^5$. Division is tricky in the best of times, and we saved ourselves a lot of time and effort using Theorem 11.16 to find and simplify $\frac{z}{w}$ using their polar forms as opposed to starting with $\frac{2\sqrt{3} + 2i}{-1 + i\sqrt{3}}$, rationalizing the denominator, and so forth.

There is geometric reason for studying these polar forms and we would be derelict in our duties if we did not mention the Geometry hidden in Theorem 11.16. Take the product rule, for instance. If $z = |z|\text{cis}(\alpha)$ and $w = |w|\text{cis}(\beta)$, the formula $zw = |z||w|\text{cis}(\alpha + \beta)$ can be viewed geometrically as a two step process. The multiplication of $|z|$ by $|w|$ can be interpreted as magnifying\(^{10}\) the distance $|z|$ from $z$ to 0, by the factor $|w|$. Adding the argument of $w$ to the argument of $z$ can be interpreted geometrically as a rotation of $\beta$ radians counter-clockwise.\(^{11}\) Focusing on $z$ and $w$ from Example

\(^{10}\)Assuming $|w| > 1$.
\(^{11}\)Assuming $\beta > 0$. 
11.7.3, we can arrive at the product \( zw \) by plotting \( z \), doubling its distance from 0 (since \( |w| = 2 \)), and rotating \( \frac{2\pi}{3} \) radians counter-clockwise. The sequence of diagrams below attempts to describe this process geometrically.

We may also visualize division similarly. Here, the formula \( \frac{z}{w} = \frac{|z|}{|w|} \text{cis}(\alpha - \beta) \) may be interpreted as shrinking the distance from 0 to \( z \) by the factor \( |w| \), followed up by a clockwise rotation of \( \beta \) radians. In the case of \( z \) and \( w \) from Example 11.7.3, we arrive at \( \frac{z}{w} \) by first halving the distance from 0 to \( z \), then rotating clockwise \( \frac{2\pi}{3} \) radians.

Our last goal of the section is to reverse DeMoivre’s Theorem to extract roots of complex numbers.

**Definition 11.4.** Let \( z \) and \( w \) be complex numbers. If there is a natural number \( n \) such that \( w^n = z \), then \( w \) is an \( n \text{th} \) root of \( z \).

Unlike Definition 5.4 in Section 5.3, we do not specify one particular principal \( n \text{th} \) root, hence the use of the indefinite article ‘an’ as in ‘an \( n \text{th} \) root of \( z \)’. Using this definition, both 4 and \(-4\) are

\[ \text{Again, assuming } |w| > 1. \]
\[ \text{Again, assuming } \beta > 0. \]
square roots of 16, while \( \sqrt{16} \) means the principal square root of 16 as in \( \sqrt{16} = 4 \). Suppose we wish to find all complex third (cube) roots of 8. Algebraically, we are trying to solve \( w^3 = 8 \). We know that there is only one real solution to this equation, namely \( w = \sqrt[3]{8} = 2 \), but if we take the time to rewrite this equation as \( w^3 - 8 = 0 \) and factor, we get \((w - 2)(w^2 + 2w + 4) = 0\). The quadratic factor gives two more cube roots \( w = -1 \pm i\sqrt{3} \), for a total of three cube roots of 8. In accordance with Theorem 3.14, since the degree of \( p(w) = w^3 - 8 \) is three, there are three complex zeros, counting multiplicity. Since we have found three distinct zeros, we know these are all of the zeros, so there are exactly three distinct cube roots of 8. Let us now solve this same problem using the machinery developed in this section. To do so, we express \( w \) as \( w = z \) with polar form \( z = r\,\text{cis}(\theta) \) with polar coordinates \((r, \theta)\), respectively. Writing these out in rectangular form yields \( w_0 = 2\,\text{cis}(0) \), \( w_1 = 2\,\text{cis}(\frac{2\pi}{3}) \) and \( w_2 = 2\,\text{cis}(\frac{4\pi}{3}) \), respectively. The complex number on the left hand side of the equation corresponds to the point with polar coordinates \((8, 0)\), since \( |w| \geq 0 \), so is \(|w|^3\), which means \(|w|^3, 3\alpha\) and \((8, 0)\) are two polar representations corresponding to the same complex number, both with positive \( r \) values.

From Section 11.4, we know \(|w|^3 = 8\) and \(3\alpha = 0 + 2\pi k\) for integers \( k \). Since \(|w|\) is a real number, we solve \(|w|^3 = 8\) by extracting the principal cube root to get \(|w| = \sqrt[3]{8} = 2\). As for \( \alpha \), we get \( \alpha = \frac{2\pi k}{3} \) for integers \( k \). This produces three distinct points with polar coordinates corresponding to \( k = 0, 1 \) and \( 2 \): specifically \((2, 0)\), \((2, \frac{2\pi}{3})\) and \((2, \frac{4\pi}{3})\). These correspond to the complex numbers \( w_0 = 2\,\text{cis}(0) \), \( w_1 = 2\,\text{cis}(\frac{2\pi}{3}) \) and \( w_2 = 2\,\text{cis}(\frac{4\pi}{3}) \), respectively. Writing these out in rectangular form yields \( w_0 = 2 \), \( w_1 = -1 + i\sqrt{3} \) and \( w_2 = -1 - i\sqrt{3} \). While this process seems a tad more involved than our previous factoring approach, this procedure can be generalized to find, for example, all of the fifth roots of 32. (Try using Chapter 3 techniques on that!) If we start with a generic complex number in polar form \( z = |z|\text{cis}(\theta) \) and solve \( w^n = z \) in the same manner as above, we arrive at the following theorem.

**Theorem 11.17. The \( n \)th roots of a Complex Number:** Let \( z \neq 0 \) be a complex number with polar form \( z = r\text{cis}(\theta) \). For each natural number \( n \), \( z \) has \( n \) distinct \( n \)th roots, which we denote by \( w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_{n-1} \), and they are given by the formula

\[
w_k = \sqrt[n]{r}\text{cis}\left(\frac{\theta}{n} + \frac{2\pi}{n}k\right)
\]

The proof of Theorem 11.17 breaks into two parts: first, showing that each \( w_k \) is an \( n \)th root, and second, showing that the set \( \{w_k : k = 0, 1, \ldots, (n - 1)\} \) consists of \( n \) different complex numbers. To show \( w_k \) is an \( n \)th root of \( z \), we use DeMoivre’s Theorem to show \((w_k)^n = z\).
Example 11.7.4. Use Theorem 11.17 to find the following:

1. both square roots of $z = -2 + 2i\sqrt{3}$
2. the four fourth roots of $z = -16$
3. the three cube roots of $z = \sqrt{2} + i\sqrt{2}$
4. the five fifth roots of $z = 1$.

Solution.

1. We start by writing $z = -2 + 2i\sqrt{3} = 4\text{cis}\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}\right)$. To use Theorem 11.17, we identify $r = 4$, $\theta = \frac{2\pi}{3}$ and $n = 2$. We know that $z$ has two square roots, and in keeping with the notation in Theorem 11.17, we’ll call them $w_0$ and $w_1$. We get $w_0 = \sqrt{4}\text{cis}\left(\frac{(2\pi/3) + 2\pi(0)}{2}\right) = 2\text{cis}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)$ and $w_1 = \sqrt{4}\text{cis}\left(\frac{(2\pi/3) + 2\pi(1)}{2}\right) = 2\text{cis}\left(\frac{5\pi}{6}\right)$. In rectangular form, the two square roots of $z$ are $w_0 = 1 + i\sqrt{3}$ and $w_1 = -1 - i\sqrt{3}$. We can check our answers by squaring them and showing that we get $z = -2 + 2i\sqrt{3}$.

2. Proceeding as above, we get $z = -16 = 16\text{cis}(\pi)$. With $r = 16$, $\theta = \pi$ and $n = 4$, we get the four fourth roots of $z$ to be $w_0 = \sqrt[4]{16}\text{cis}\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + 2\pi(0)\right) = 2\text{cis}\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)$, $w_1 = \sqrt[4]{16}\text{cis}\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + 2\pi(1)\right) = 2\text{cis}\left(\frac{7\pi}{4}\right)$, $w_2 = \sqrt[4]{16}\text{cis}\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + 2\pi(2)\right) = 2\text{cis}\left(\frac{5\pi}{4}\right)$ and $w_3 = \sqrt[4]{16}\text{cis}\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + 2\pi(3)\right) = 2\text{cis}\left(\frac{3\pi}{2}\right)$. Converting these to rectangular form gives $w_0 = \sqrt{2} + i\sqrt{2}$, $w_1 = -\sqrt{2} + i\sqrt{2}$, $w_2 = -\sqrt{2} - i\sqrt{2}$ and $w_3 = \sqrt{2} - i\sqrt{2}$. 

3. For \( z = \sqrt{2} + i\sqrt{2} \), we have \( z = 2 \text{cis} \left( \frac{\pi}{4} \right) \). With \( r = 2, \theta = \frac{\pi}{4} \) and \( n = 3 \) the usual computations yield 
\[
\begin{align*}
w_0 &= \sqrt[3]{2} \text{cis} \left( \frac{\pi}{12} \right), \\
w_1 &= \sqrt[3]{2} \text{cis} \left( \frac{3\pi}{4} \right), \\
w_2 &= \sqrt[3]{2} \text{cis} \left( \frac{17\pi}{12} \right).
\end{align*}
\]
If we were to convert these to rectangular form, we would need to use either the Sum and Difference Identities in Theorem 10.16 or the Half-Angle Identities in Theorem 10.19 to evaluate \( w_0 \) and \( w_2 \). Since we are not explicitly told to do so, we leave this as a good, but messy, exercise.

4. To find the five fifth roots of 1, we write \( 1 = 1 \text{cis}(0) \). We have \( r = 1, \theta = 0 \) and \( n = 5 \). Since \( \sqrt[5]{1} = 1 \), the roots are 
\[
\begin{align*}
w_0 &= \text{cis}(0) = 1, \\
w_1 &= \text{cis} \left( \frac{2\pi}{5} \right), \\
w_2 &= \text{cis} \left( \frac{4\pi}{5} \right), \\
w_3 &= \text{cis} \left( \frac{6\pi}{5} \right), \\
w_4 &= \text{cis} \left( \frac{8\pi}{5} \right).
\end{align*}
\]
The situation here is even graver than in the previous example, since we have not developed any identities to help us determine the cosine or sine of \( \frac{2\pi}{5} \). At this stage, we could approximate our answers using a calculator, and we leave this as an exercise.

Now that we have done some computations using Theorem 11.17, we take a step back to look at things geometrically. Essentially, Theorem 11.17 says that to find the \( n \)th roots of a complex number, we first take the \( n \)th root of the modulus and divide the argument by \( n \). This gives the first root \( w_0 \). Each successive root is found by adding \( \frac{2\pi}{n} \) to the argument, which amounts to rotating \( w_0 \) by \( \frac{2\pi}{n} \) radians. This results in \( n \) roots, spaced equally around the complex plane. As an example of this, we plot our answers to number 2 in Example 11.7.4 below.

![Diagram of the four fourth roots of \( z = -16 \) equally spaced \( \frac{2\pi}{4} = \frac{\pi}{2} \) around the plane.]

We have only glimpsed at the beauty of the complex numbers in this section. The complex plane is without a doubt one of the most important mathematical constructs ever devised. Coupled with Calculus, it is the venue for incredibly important Science and Engineering applications.\(^{14}\) For now, the following exercises will have to suffice.

\(^{14}\)For more on this, see the beautifully written epilogue to Section 3.4 found on page 293.